

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES
TUESDAY, May 19th, 2020 @ 1:30 P.M.
MEMBERS AND OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Steve Flowers, Com. Dev.
Darin Pryor, Public Works
Tom Coots, Com. Dev.
Ron Smith, Fire Department

Floyd Jernigan, Parks & Rec.
Everett Briggs, Public Works
Chad Davis, RMU
Madelyn Brown, Com. Dev.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. ZV2020-01, Torrey Woodcock: Variance to allow a reduction landscape buffer yard width and required landscaping at property addressed as 1002 N Bishop Rd.

The Kyoto Japanese Restaurant that was once located at 1002 N. Bishop Ave has been demolished in preparation for a Jimmy Johns restaurant to be built. The owner, **Torrey Woodcock**, is requesting a variance to address the current landscaping obligations, due to the property being commercial use and located next to a multi-family residential property. The adjacent property is more of a commercial use than a residential use, being the Baptist Student Union. **Coots** had suggested that the owner provide a landscaping plan that may entail planting light greenery, or putting up a fence to help meet the landscape requirements. However, the request is to provide no buffer yard, screening, or landscaping.

2. ZON02-02, Donna Wilson: Rezoning from the C-1, Neighborhood Commercial District, to the C-2, General Retail District.

The first and final reading for this case was approved at the most recent City Council meeting. The request was rushed without DRC discussion due to the applicant being ready to open their business. No further discussion was necessary.

3. Committee Discussion: Discussion about a potential city initiated annexation at a property addressed as 10567 E State Highway E.

The commercial property, known as *Appliance RX*, and a residential property are located outside of city limits. Sewer service was provided for the properties a few years ago. An annexation agreement was signed for one of the properties at that time. The agreement should have been signed by both. The commercial property recently was converted from a residential use. It was discovered that the property never signed an annexation agreement.

At this time, it is not required that they annex the property, but several suggestions were made during the meeting to discuss what to do with the situation. The proposed options were to: add no requirements and leave the property as is, annex it and ask the owners of other adjacent properties to go through with a voluntary annexation, or to pursue an involuntary annexation so the entire area could be annexed and square up the city limits. The committee decided that at this time there is no need for any action.

Since no further comments were made, the meeting was adjourned.

Meeting Adjourned: 2:00 P.M.

Minutes Prepared By: Madelyn Brown

NEXT MEETING:

Tuesday, June 2nd, 2020

